

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/620,427	Applicant(s) BHAGWAT ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) San-ming Hui.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Tom Bradshaw, answered for Ms. Andrea Reister.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Bradshaw informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed June 5, 2006
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/10/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)